IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 432 OF 2007

The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Mumbai .... Petitioner

versus

Vishnu Bapurao Kashid ..... Respondent.

Mr. N..Walawalkar Sr. adv. with Mr,. A.J.Bhore for the petitioner.
mr. Prakash Devdas for the respondent.

CORAM; A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED; 13TH FEBRUARY, 2007

P.C.;

1. The resposndent was denied the promotion to the post of Inspector. Hence he moved the Industrial Court by filing a complaint under MRTU & PULP Act alleging commission of unfair labour practice under Item No.9 of Schedule IV. The Industrial Court has allowed the complaint by judgment and order dated 31-1-2004. Belatedly the said order has been challenged by filing the instant writ petition as late as on 18-12-2006, i.e. almost after a period of three years. In para 9 of the writ petition the petitioner has stated that the impugned order was passed on 31-1-2004 and an application for certified copy was made on 6-2-2004 and the copy was received on 26-2-2004. Strangely enough thereafter it is pleaded that thus the petitioner has approached this court as

expeditiously as possible. In the absence of any explanation whatsoever for the delay, the petition does not warrant any consideration. The same stands dismissed on account of unexplained delay and latches.

Hence the writ petition is summarily dismissed.

...